

A General Type of a Coherent State with Thermal Effects

Wen-Fa Lu

*Department of Applied Physics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200030, China **
(February 1, 2008)

Within the framework of thermofield dynamics, we construct a thermalized coherent thermal state, which is a general type of the coherent state with the thermal effects and can be presumably produced experimentally. The wavefunction and the density matrix element in the coordinate representation are calculated, and furthermore we give the probability densities, average values and variances of the position, momentum and particle number, which in special cases are consistent with those in the literature. All calculations are performed in the coordinate representation.

Coherent states [1], which have played an important role in a varieties of fields in physics [2], possess minimal uncertainty, mimic classical motions, and can describe the coherent light. Hence to investigate the effect of temperature on it is interesting and useful, especially in quantum optics and quantum electronics [3]. Early in 1963, using the P representation of density operator, Lachs considered the mixture of one-mode thermal and coherent radiation, deriving the probability densities, average values and variances of the position coordinate, momentum, as well as particle number, and indicating their time evolution [4]. Since then, the coherent state with thermal effects has been investigated with several other methods, such as the Bargmann representation of density operator [5], density matrix [6], the density-matrix method based on information theory [7], the characteristic function [8], and Fock representation of thermofield-dynamical state [9]. These investigations gave rise to various definitions of the coherent state with a finite temperature effect, and they can be divided into thermalized coherent state and coherent thermal state [10]. Although these two states are transformed into each other by a parameter transformation [10] [9] (1985), they are distinct states and have their own physical senses. If a coherent device can excite a light field from its ground state to the coherent state and a thermalizing device can bring a light from its ground state to a thermal state, then a coherent thermal state at a finite temperature T is the output from the coherent device whose input is a thermal state at T , and a thermalized coherent state at T is the output from a thermalizing device at T whose input is a coherent state. Thus the thermalized coherent state introduces a finite temperature effect into the coherent state after displacing the ground state, and the coherent thermal state introduces a finite temperature effect into the coherent state before displacing the ground state.

Since the thermalized coherent state and the coherent thermal state are investigated, a natural generalization of these states a thermalized coherent thermal state (see the definition Eq.(6)) is interesting and worthy of discussing. It takes the thermalized coherent state and the coherent thermal state as its special cases. Also, it is more practical than those coherent states with finite temperature effects in the literature, because both the input and output of a coherent device usually meet thermal noises and mixed with them owing to the inevitable existence of thermal noises. Meanwhile, for a trapped ion, both the thermal and the coherent states can have been produced experimentally [11], and hence a suitable combination of the experimental techniques can produce in principle the thermalized coherent thermal state experimentally.

This paper will discuss the thermalized coherent thermal state. First we will give the definition of this state, then calculate the density matrix element in the coordinate representation, discuss the probability densities, average values and variances of the position, momentum and particle number, and finally conclude this paper.

In the fixed-time Schrödinger picture, for a one-dimensional oscillator

$$H = \frac{1}{2m}\mathbf{p}^2 + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2x^2 \quad (1)$$

with x the position coordinate, $\mathbf{p} = -i\hbar\frac{d}{dx} \equiv -i\hbar\partial_x$, m the mass, and ω the angular frequency, the position wavefunction of a coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ is easily derived as [12]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x|\alpha\rangle \equiv \langle x|D(\alpha)|0\rangle &= \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\{-i\alpha_1\alpha_2\} \\ &\cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}\left(x - \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{m\omega}}\alpha_1\right)^2 + i\sqrt{\frac{2m\omega}{\hbar}}\alpha_2x\right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where, $\alpha = (\alpha_1 + i\alpha_2)$ is any complex number, the displacement operator

*E-mail: wenfalu@online.sh.cn

$$D(\alpha) = \exp\left\{i\sqrt{\frac{2m\omega}{\hbar}}\alpha_2x - i\sqrt{\frac{2}{m\hbar\omega}}\alpha_1\mathbf{p}\right\} \quad (3)$$

is a theoretical equivalent to an ideal displacement device.

In order to consider thermal effects, thermofield dynamics introduces a tilde oscillator whose Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$, other observables and state vectors can be written off from the corresponding ones of the physical oscillator according to the tilde “conjugation”: $\tilde{C}\tilde{O} \equiv C^*\tilde{O}$ [13,14]. Here, C is any coefficient appeared in expressions of quantities for the physical system, O any operator, the superscript $*$ means complex conjugation, and $\tilde{O}$ represents the corresponding operator for the tilde system. Exploiting the physical and tilde oscillators, one can have the thermal vacuum [13]

$$|0, \beta \rangle = \mathcal{T}(\theta)|0, \tilde{0} \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where, $|0, \tilde{0} \rangle = |0 \rangle |\tilde{0} \rangle$ is the product of ground states of the physical and tilde oscillators, $\beta = \frac{1}{k_b T}$ with k_b the Boltzmann constant, and the unitary transformation $\mathcal{T}(\theta)$ (called thermal transformation) is

$$\mathcal{T}(\theta) = \exp\left\{i\frac{\theta}{\hbar}(x\tilde{\mathbf{p}} - \tilde{x}\mathbf{p})\right\} \quad (5)$$

with

$$\tanh[\theta(\beta)] = e^{-\beta\hbar\omega/2}.$$

Notice that any physical operator commutes with any tilde operator, physical operators act on physical states only, and similarly tilde operators on tildian states only. Consequently the thermal-vacuum average value agrees with canonical ensemble average in statistical mechanics. When m is unit, the thermal vacuum can describe the light in a thermal state with a Bose-Einstein distribution at the finite temperature T . Usually, the thermal operator $\mathcal{T}(\theta)$ can be regarded as the theoretical equivalent to a thermal source or a thermal noise at the temperature T .

Now we are at the position to define the thermalized coherent thermal state. Within the framework of thermofield dynamics, the thermalized coherent state can be defined as $\mathcal{T}(\theta)D(\alpha)\tilde{D}(\alpha)|0, \tilde{0} \rangle$, and the coherent thermal state $D(\alpha)\tilde{D}(\alpha)|0, \beta \rangle$ [9] (1985), with $\tilde{D}(\alpha)$ the tildian counterpart of Eq.(3) and $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha^*$. Naturally, we can define the thermalized coherent thermal state

$$|\beta_2, t, \alpha, \beta_1, 0 \rangle \equiv \mathcal{T}(\theta_2)D(\alpha)\tilde{D}(\alpha)\mathcal{T}(\theta_1)|0, \tilde{0} \rangle. \quad (6)$$

This state amounts to the output from a thermalizing device at T_2 whose input is a coherent thermal state at T_1 . Because $D(\alpha)\mathcal{T}(\theta_3)\tilde{D}(\alpha) \neq \tilde{D}(\alpha)\mathcal{T}(\theta_3)D(\alpha)$, we donot believe that the state $\mathcal{T}(\theta_2)D(\alpha)\mathcal{T}(\theta_3)\tilde{D}(\alpha)\mathcal{T}(\theta_1)|0, \tilde{0} \rangle$ has any definite physical sense. Hence the state Eq.(6) is a general type of the coherent state with thermal effects, at least, within the framework of thermofield dynamics. Obviously, it takes the thermalized coherent state and the coherent thermal state as its special cases. Next we shall give the time-dependent wavefunction of Eq.(6) in the coordinate representation.

The time-dependent form of Eq.(6) $|t, \beta_2, \alpha, \beta_1, 0 \rangle$ is $\tilde{U}(t)|\beta_2, t, \alpha, \beta_1, 0 \rangle$ with the time-evolution operator $U(t) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(H-\tilde{H})t}$ [13,15]. Untangling the time-evolution and thermal operators [15] as well as the displacement operator [12], and employting Eqs.(9),(10) and (42) in Ref. [15], one can obtain the wavefunction of the thermalized coherent thermal state in the coordinate representation as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{x}, x | t, \beta_2, \alpha, \beta_1, 0 \rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}} \exp\left\{(\cosh(\theta_1) - \sinh(\theta_1))^2 \left[\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{A} + \frac{\alpha^{*2}}{A^*}\right) \cos(\omega t) - 2\alpha_1^2\right]\right\} \\ &\cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}[(x \cosh(\Theta) - \tilde{x} \sinh(\Theta) - \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{m\omega}} \frac{\alpha}{A} (\cosh(\theta_1) - \sinh(\theta_1)))^2 \right. \\ &\left. + (\tilde{x} \cosh(\Theta) - x \sinh(\Theta) - \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{m\omega}} \frac{\alpha^*}{A^*} (\cosh(\theta_1) - \sinh(\theta_1)))^2]\right\} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with $A = \cos(\omega t) + i \sin(\omega t)$. In the case of $T_1 = 0$, this result is identical to Eq.(43) with $n = 0$ in Ref. [15]. The wavefunction Eq.(7) contains a full information of the thermalized coherent thermal state, and from it one can calculate various quantities for the state Eq.(6).

First we calculate the position density matrix element $\rho_{x',x}(t)$ from the wavefunction Eq.(7). $\rho_{x',x}(t)$ should involve the physical position coordinate only, and hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{x',x}(t) &\equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \beta, t, \alpha | x', \tilde{x} \rangle \langle \tilde{x}, x | \alpha, t, \beta \rangle d\tilde{x} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\cosh(2\Theta)}} \exp\left\{ \frac{(\cosh(\theta_2) + \sinh(\theta_2))^2}{2 \cosh(2\Theta)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{A} - \frac{\alpha^*}{A^*} \right)^2 \right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \exp\left\{ -\frac{m\omega}{4\hbar} \frac{1}{\cosh(2\Theta)} [x + x' - \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{m\omega}} (\cosh(\theta_2) + \sinh(\theta_2)) \left(\frac{\alpha}{A} + \frac{\alpha^*}{A^*} \right)]^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{m\omega}{4\hbar} \coth(2\Theta) [x - x' - \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{m\omega}} \frac{(\cosh(\theta_2) + \sinh(\theta_2))}{\cosh(2\Theta)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{A} - \frac{\alpha^*}{A^*} \right)]^2 \right\}. \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

The position probability density is complex, Hermitian and time-dependent.

Taking $x' = x$ in Eq.(8), the position probability density is written off as

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{x,x}(t) &= \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar \cosh(2\Theta)}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{m\omega}{\hbar \cosh(2\Theta)} \left[x - \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\cosh(\theta_2) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sinh(\theta_2)) \left(\frac{\alpha}{A} + \frac{\alpha^*}{A^*} \right) \right]^2 \right\}. \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

This is a Gaussian probability density, and from it one has easily the position average value

$$\langle x \rangle \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \rho_{x,x} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\cosh(\theta_2) + \sinh(\theta_2)) \left(\frac{\alpha}{A} + \frac{\alpha^*}{A^*} \right) \tag{10}$$

and the position variance

$$(\Delta x)^2 \equiv \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \cosh(2\Theta). \tag{11}$$

Here and after, “ $\langle \dots \rangle$ ” denotes “ $\langle \alpha, t, \beta | \dots | \beta, t, \alpha \rangle$ ”.

Furthermore, exploiting Eq.(8), one can calculate the momentum probability density

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{p,p}(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \exp\left\{ i \frac{px'}{\hbar} - i \frac{px}{\hbar} \right\} \rho_{x',x} dx dx' \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi m\hbar\omega \cosh(2\Theta)}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{m\hbar\omega \cosh(2\Theta)} \left[p + i \sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} (\cosh(\theta_2) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sinh(\theta_2)) \left(\frac{\alpha}{A} - \frac{\alpha^*}{A^*} \right) \right]^2 \right\}. \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, p is regarded as the momentum eigenvalue. The momentum average value and variance are

$$\langle p \rangle = -i \sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} (\cosh(\theta_2) + \sinh(\theta_2)) \left(\frac{\alpha}{A} - \frac{\alpha^*}{A^*} \right) \tag{13}$$

and

$$(\Delta p)^2 \equiv \langle p^2 \rangle - \langle p \rangle^2 = \frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} \cosh(2\Theta). \tag{14}$$

Finally, from $\rho_{x',x}(t)$, we calculate the probability density, average value and variance of the particle number. The probability density of the particle number n is

$$\rho_{n,n}(t) = \langle n | \rho | n \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle n | x' \rangle \langle x | n \rangle \rho_{x',x}(t) dx' dx, \tag{15}$$

where the position wavefunction of the number state $|n\rangle$ is

$$\langle x | n \rangle = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} (2^n n!)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} x^2 \right\} H_n \left(\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}} x \right).$$

Using formulae 7.374(8) and 7.377 in Ref. [16], one can obtain

$$\rho_{n,n}(t) = \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \Theta} (\tanh(\Theta))^{2n} \exp\{-(\cosh(\theta_2) + \sinh(\theta_2))^2 (1 - \tanh^2(\Theta)) |\alpha|^2\} \cdot L_n[-4(\frac{\cosh(\theta_2) + \sinh(\theta_2)}{\sinh(2\Theta)})^2 |\alpha|^2] \quad (16)$$

where, $\mathcal{L}_n[\dots]$ is the Laguerre polynomial with zero order. The average particle number can be calculated as

$$\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathbf{n} \rho_{x',x}(t))|_{x'=x} dx = \frac{1}{2} \cosh(2\Theta) + (\cosh(\theta_2) + \sinh(\theta_2))^2 |\alpha|^2 - \frac{1}{2}, \quad (17)$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ is the particle number operator $\mathbf{n} = \{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}[(\frac{m\omega}{\hbar})^2 x^2 - \partial_x^2] - \frac{1}{2}\}$. Similarly, the variance of the particle number can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta n)^2 &\equiv \langle \mathbf{n}^2 \rangle - \langle \mathbf{n} \rangle^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [(\mathbf{n})^2 \rho_{x',x}(t)]|_{x'=x} dx - \langle \mathbf{n} \rangle^2 \\ &= (\cosh(\theta_2) + \sinh(\theta_2))^2 \cosh(2\Theta) |\alpha|^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\cosh^2(2\Theta) - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

In conclusion, we have constructed the thermalized coherent thermal state. For this state, the position probability density matrix element, the probability densities, average values and variances of the position, momentum and particle number have been calculated directly in the coordinate representation. This state is a general type of the coherent state with thermal effects. In special cases, the results in the present paper are consistent with those in the literature [4–10]. For example, when $t = 0$ and $T_1 = 0$, Eqs. (9) and (12) are consistent with Eq. (27) in Ref. [9](Abe), Eqs.(10) and (13) are equal to Eqs. (17a) and (17c) for the case of $\tilde{\gamma} = \alpha^*$ in Ref. [9](1989), respectively, and Eqs.(11) and (14) are identical with Eqs.(4.8a) and (4.8b) in Ref. [7], respectively. For example again, in the case of $T_2 = 0$, Eq. (18) here is reduced to Eq.(2.10) in Ref. [6](Fearn). As has been stated in the beginning of this paper, the thermalized coherent thermal state Eq.(6) introduces the thermal effects with two temperatures both before and after displacing the ground state. Our results indicate that the T_1 -temperature effect before displacing the ground state is different from the T_2 -temperature effect after displacing the ground state, and also the temperature effects in the thermalized coherent thermal state are not a simple combination of the temperature effects in the thermalized coherent state and the coherent thermal state. Comparing the results here with those in Ref. [4], one can find that in the case of $T_2 = 0$ the results here can give rise to Eqs.(3.4), (3.5), (3.9) and (3.10) in Ref. [4]. This implies that the mixture of coherent and thermal radiation described by a P representation is equivalent to a coherent thermal state but not to a thermalized coherent state. However, the P representation is independent of the order in which the coherent and thermal sources were turned on [1] [9](1985), and hence, according to the P representation, to introduce thermal effects before displacing the ground state is equivalent to doing so after displacing the ground state. The theoretical reason why there are this discrepancy between thermofield dynamics and the P representation was explained in Ref. [9](1985). However, we believe that to prepare a thermalized coherent thermal state both of light and of an ion experimentally is necessary because it will examine the results in this paper, and in particular, is helpful for our understanding the above discrepancy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was supported jointly by the President Foundation of Shanghai Jiao Tong University and the National Natural Science Foundation of China with grant No. 19875034.

-
- [1] R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. **131**, 2766 (1963).
 - [2] J. R. Klauder and B-S Sleagerstam (ed.), *Coherent States : Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics* (World Scientific Publishing Co Pte Ltd., Singapore, 1985); D. H. Feng, J. R. Klauder and M. R. Strayer (ed.), *Coherent States : Past, Present and Future* (World Scientific Publishing Co Pte Ltd., Singapore, 1994) .
 - [3] A. Vourdas, Phys. Rev. A **34**, 3466 (1986); B. Saleh, *Photoelectron Statistics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978) .

- [4] G. Lachs, Phys. Rev. **138**, B1012 (1965).
- [5] R. F. Bishop and A. Vourdas, J. Phys. A **20**, 3743 (1987); A. Vourdas and R. F. Bishop, Phys. Rev. A **50**, 3331 (1994).
- [6] H. Fearn and M. J. Collett, J. Mod. Opt. **35**, 553 (1988); M. J. W. Hall, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 42 (1994).
- [7] J. Aliaga, G. Crespo and A. N. Proto, Phys. Rev. A **42**, 618 (1990).
- [8] G. G. Emch and G. C. Hegerfeldt, J. Math. Phys. **27**, 2731 (1986).
- [9] S. M. Barnett and P. L. Knight, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **2**, 467 (1985); A. Mann and M. Revzen, Phys. Lett A **134**, 273 (1989); S. Abe and N. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. A **41**, 4608 (1990).
- [10] J. Oz-Vogt, A. Mann and M. Revzen, J. Mod. Opt. **38**, 2339 (1991).
- [11] D. M. Meekhof, C. Monroe, B. E. King, W. M. Itano and D. J. Wineland, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76** (1996) 1796.
- [12] M. M. Nieto, Quantum Semiclass. Optics **8**, 1061 (1996), or Preprint LA-UR-96-1596 .
- [13] Y. Takahashi and H. Umezawa, Collective Phenomena **2**, 55 (1975); H. Umezawa, H. Matsumoto and M. Tachiki, *Thermo Field Dynamics and Condensed states* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982); A. Das, *Finite Temperature Field Theory* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1997).
- [14] Wen-Fa Lu, J. Phys. A **32**, 739 (1999), or hep-th/9807025.
- [15] Wen-Fa Lu, J. Phys. A **32**, 5037 (1999), or quant-ph/9809044, 1998 .
- [16] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products* (Academic Press New York, 1980) .